

## **DECEMBER 7, 2015 COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

### **1<sup>ST</sup> BUDGET HEARING**

**Mrs. Kuhn – I would like us all to please remember our Veterans who gave us their all and thankful for the wonderful Country that they saved for us to enjoy and also yesterday December 6 that marked the anniversary of when our hearts in Penn Hills were broken when our own young Officer Michael Crashaw was gunned down and killed. Penn Hills has lost two other Police Officers also Sergeant Connolly and Officer Schrott so I ask that we please remember the fallen and remember their families and the sadness that they have in their hearts. Thank you so much and if we could stand now for the Pledge of Allegiance.**

### **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

### **ROLL CALL**

**Dr. Kincaid  
Mayor DeLuca – absent  
Mr. Underwood  
Mr. Palumbo  
Mrs. Kuhn**

**Also present were Manager Rayan, Secretary Sorce, IT Director Day, EMS Director Fitzhenry and Finance Director Schrecengost, Adm. Specialist Carson, DPW Sheppard, WPCD O’Grady, Fire Marshal Miller, Chief Burton, Code Director McCafferty, Solicitor’s Office Chelsey, DPW Director Nosal, Senior Center Director Paciulli, Planning Director Blackwell, Parks & Recreation Director Scaglione, Library Director Ward and Officer Ford.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – the first thing on our Agenda will be the Budget Overview. The Manager will present a narrative of the Annual Budget, his recommendations to Mayor & Council for approving the stability of the Municipality the financial stability after which Council will hear public input. It doesn’t seem like we have much of an audience here but for those who are not familiar with the Budget procedure there is**

**to be two to three Public Meetings on the Budget. We have three. The first one which would be tonight is to receive input from the audience. We as Council do not give any input at this meeting. We just take notes on what the residents and the audience are asking for us to consider. Then the second meeting which would on December 21, 2015 Council will give their input and receive further input from the audience and then we will pass the Budget on December 31<sup>st</sup> and it is usually a late afternoon meeting, is that right Moe?**

**Moe Rayan -3:00 P.M.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – 3:00 P.M. with that being said Moe if you could give us your overall.**

### **BUDGET OVERVIEW**

**Moe Rayan – Good Evening.**

**Honorable Deputy Mayor and Members of Council:**

**The proposed 2016 Fiscal Plan is hereby presented for your consideration in the form of a General Operating Fund, Sewer Operating Fund, Highway Aid Fund and Annual Capital Improvements Budget.**

### **GENERAL FUND SUMMARY**

#### **Fiscal Year 2015 Review**

**REVENUES: Overall 2015 Revenues for the General Operating Fund are estimated to be less than the budgeted amount by approximately \$145,858. This decrease is due to the process and transfer of funds to The Municipality by The Allegheny County Southwest Tax Collector.**

**EXPENDITURES: Expenditures for Fiscal year 2015 are estimated to be below budgeted amount by approximately \$4,077,811. The original 2015 Budget reflected an end balance of approximately \$2,237,270. As a result of close monitoring by Department Directors, the Finance Director and Manager, the projected end balance for Fiscal Year 2015 is estimated to be approximately \$6,169,223.**

**Year 2016 Summary:**

**REVENUES:** The Proposed 2016 Revenues are estimated to be approximately \$194,564 less than 2015 budgeted amount. The 2016 Budget as proposed reflects a \$1,563,550 Fund Balance at Year End. Each Mill of the Tax Rate generates approximately \$1,436,747 in Revenue.

**EXPENDITURES:**

General Operating Fund Proposed Expenditures for 2016 are estimated to be \$32,341,632. This represents a decrease of \$151,949 from the 2015 Budgeted Amount. There are nominal decreases or increases in the overall Budget from 2015 to 2016 related to vehicle and equipment purchases, and capital expenditures.

Contractual wage increases for 2016 include 2.5% for Local 249 who represent The DPW Employees, 3.0% for AFSCME who represent The School Guards, 2.5% for MEO who represent The Building & Office Employees and 2.5% for PAP who represent The EMS Division, 3.0% for MEO who represent The Water Pollution Control Department employees and 2.75% for The PAB who represent The PHPD employees. A 3.0% wage increase has been proposed for all non-union employees, management and non-management.

Vehicle and Major Capital Equipment purchases and expenditures for 2016 include:

- (1) Unmarked Police Chief Vehicle
- (4) Marked Vehicles – Patrol Division
- (20) In-Car Cameras
- (1) 5 Ton Truck with Plow & Spreader (Department of Public Works)
- (1) 1-Ton Truck with Plow & Spreader (Department of Public Works)
- (1) ½ Ton 4 x 4 Pick-Up (DPW)
- (1) Back Hoe (DPW)
- (1) Storage Cover (DPW)
- (1) ¾ Ton 4 x 4 Pick-Up with Dump Insert (Parks & Recreation)
- (1) Generator – Walk In Refrigerator (Senior Center)
- (1) Ambulance – EMS Division
- (1) Power Stretchers – EMS Division

**Other expenses include:**

• Pension Bonds – Principal/Interest	\$ 342,200
• Employee Benefits	\$ 428,934
• Employee Salaries	\$ 322,196
• Municipal Insurances	\$ 136,668
• Building and Structures Improvements/ New Municipal Building	\$1,000,000
• Streets and Roads	\$1,100,000
• Payment for Fire Protection Equip. (SCBA) for our Local Seven Volunteer Fire Companies – Installment 1 of 4	\$ 107,000

**The majority of General Operating Fund Revenues are realized through Real Estate Tax Collection, Earned Income Tax Collection and Realty or Deed Transfer Taxes. The Municipality provides many services including 24-hour Police Protection, Fire Protection, EMS Services, Refuse Removal, Recycling, Road Maintenance and Paving, Snow Removal, Street Lights, Parks and Recreation, Library, and Senior Citizens Services. Increase costs associated with the provisions of Municipal Services are being completely absorbed by The Municipality.**

**We continue to be in the midst of a slow financial growth and current economic climate has contributed to a substantial increase in expenditures for all levels of Government. The increase in Expenditures that we are currently facing is not expected to disappear any time soon.**

**The Proposed 2016 General Fund Budget presented to you provides for a Positive End Balance of \$1,563,550 to offset any unforeseen Emergencies.**

**Accordingly, I am recommending that Mayor and Council vote for The Budget as proposed to maintain a Sound and Fiscal Budget.**

**SEWER FUND SUMMARY**

**Year 2015 Review**

**REVENUES: Sewer Fund Revenues for the Year 2015 are projected to be less than the 2015 Budgeted Amount by approximately \$450,931 which is attributable to one quarter not being billed at the new ALCOSAN rates.**

**EXPENDITURES:** Sewer Fund Expenditures for the year 2015 are projected to be lower than the 2015 Budgeted Amount by approximately \$1,107,912 which is attributable to personnel wages and benefits and close monitoring of the expenditures across nearly every line item.

As a result of close monitoring by the Water Pollution Control Department Director, the Finance Director and Manager, the End Balance for Fiscal Year 2015 is estimated to be approximately \$1,141,715.

### **Year 2016 Summary**

ALCOSAN, through a News Release on 10/24/13 and a letter dated November 10, 2015, announced a Rate Increase of 11% for 2016. However, the Revenue figure only reflects the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter of 2016. This Revenue increase will be offset by an increase in our Expenditures remitted to ALCOSAN.

**REVENUES:** Sewer Fund Revenues for Year 2016 are projected to be lower than the 2015 Budgeted Amount.

**EXPENDITURES:** Sewer Fund Expenditures in Year 2016 are projected to be lower than the 2015 Budgeted amount by approximately \$1,361,379 which is attributable to utilization of bond refunding. The Proposed 2016 Sewer Fund Budget reflected an End Balance of \$291,494. The Municipality will continue to proactively deal with sewage issues and move forward toward maintaining a stable Sewer Operating Fund through Professional Project Management and careful monitoring of expenditures.

### **FUTURE PLANNING**

In 2008 the Municipality began compliance with the Consent Order and Agreement mandated by the Department of Environmental Protection. Our compliance created new ways of doing business; we are now proactive and structured in looking ahead at the sewer system to protect the well being of life and property.

In 2008 the Municipality established a sewer rate of \$6.31/thousand gallons which when established was projected to stay in effect for five years. However, because of good management we have maintained the same rate

through 2016. Of course this rate does not reflect the total sewer fee because the ALCOSAN rate would have to be included. Along with establishing the sewer usage rate the Municipality instituted a Customer Service Charge at \$30.00/quarter in 2008 and subsequently reduced it to \$20.00/quarter in 2010 and then further reduced it to \$15.00/quarter in 2011 which is still the current Customer Service Charge.

At \$6.31/thousand gallons the Municipality has so far been able to have a healthy fund balance at the end of each year.

<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>
<b>\$2.65M</b>	<b>\$5.083M</b>	<b>\$5.9M</b>	<b>\$5.8M</b>	<b>\$5.2M</b>	<b>\$4.1M</b>	<b>2.6M</b>
			<b>2015</b>			
			<b>\$1.1M Estimated</b>			

These fund balances allowed the Municipality to comply with the Consent Order and Agreement by doing projects totaling upwards of \$18M with no additional borrowing.

However, at \$6.31/thousand gallons the projected fund balance for the end of 2016 is at \$291,493.

The fund balance is used along with the sewer rate to operate, maintain, and comply with the terms of the Consent Order and Agreement e.g. televising lines, repairs and upgrades to our sewer treatment plants.

The Municipality must continue to be proactive beyond 2016 to be prepared to face the challenges of the ALCOSAN Consent Order which affects 83 communities (including Penn Hills). Their regionalization program in part includes the conveyance of trunk sewer lines over 10” in diameter to ALCOSAN to maintain and operate and cost sharing of any new projects to maintain ALCOSAN’s EPA Consent Order projected to cost upwards of \$2 Billion.

After 2016 the sewer fund income will not be sufficient to operate and maintain the sewer lines and comply with ALCOSAN Consent Decree and the DEP Consent Order and Agreement.

Accordingly, we are presenting to Mayor and Council options to generate sufficient revenues to be able to maintain the level of service and the

**operation and maintenance of the current system to meet the upcoming challenges facing the Municipality in 2017 and beyond. These options are as follows:**

- 1. Increase the sewer usage fee rate beginning in 2017 by \$1.00 with \$.20 increments yearly thereafter and ending in 2021.**
- 2. Issue a General Obligation Bond specifically for the use of the Consent Order and Agreement mandates within an established debt service at a quarter of the cost of what is currently being budgeted annually from the Sewer Operating Budget which is upwards of \$2.5M.**
- 3. Ignore compliance with DEP and ALCOSAN which is not recommended.**

**The Finance Director, the Director of the Water Pollution Control Department, and I will be monitoring and reviewing the Sewer Fund Budget on a quarterly basis during 2016 and reporting our findings to Mayor and Council in order to assist in facilitating a funding recommendation.**

### **HIGHWAY AID**

**The Proposed 2016 Highway Aid Fund (Liquid Fuels Fund) provides for a Grant Amount of approximately \$1,097,874. However, portion of this payment is based on the State's Motor Vehicle License Fund Taxes to be collected during the remainder of 2015. Therefore, changes in consumption or wholesale prices could affect the final payment amount. All approved Liquid Fuel Projects are detailed in the Highway Aid Outlay summary contained within this Document.**

### **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND**

#### **2016 Summary:**

**The Proposed 2016 Capital Budget provides for \$900,157 in funding for a small variety of Capital Projects related to Public Works, Sanitary Sewer System, Municipal owned Facilities, Parks, Library, Public Safety and Information Management Systems. Funding sources include Grants and Bonds Refunding proceeds.**

**Many project requests will remain unfunded until Federal or State Grants can be secured or other revenue sources have been identified.**

**All approved Capital Projects are detailed in the Capital Outlay Summary contained within this document. Specific details for particular projects may be obtained through the Planning Department.**

**The Proposed 2016 Fiscal Plan as presented is balanced and it does not require a tax increase.**

**Department Directors will continue to be held accountable in 2016 to ensure their department expenditures remain within approved Budgets. In addition, the current stressful financial environment presents additional challenges to the administration, staff and Members of Council and we all must continue to make extra efforts to control cost in order to continue to improve services to our residents and businesses at or above the current levels.**

**Sincerely,**

**Mohammed F. Rayan  
Municipal Manager**

**Moe Rayan – thank you.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – Thank you Moe. Before I open it up to public comment I just want to give such a shout out for our Manager, our Finance Director and all the Directors. There have been years when our Budget has been such a nightmare that we ended up with \$55,000 for a balance. It took a lot of work and a lot of concern to get the Municipality to where we are today and I attribute that to the Management of Moe and through the fiscal watch dog of Ed Schrecengost as our Financial Director and also because of the fact that our Directors now are all accountable and they make sure that what is budgeted is exactly what is spent and nothing over and above so I appreciate that and I know that all of Penn Hill's residents appreciate it because for another year we have not had to have a tax increase even though expenditures go up every year as far as utilities, gasoline, health benefits and wages themselves. So a good job Moe to you, Ed and to all the Directors and I thank you for all your hard work. The other thing is before we go on I know that Moe in his presentation gave a comment on ALCOSAN and the large rate increase that is going to come from ALCOSAN. Unfortunately**



**ALCOSAN does not bill the residents of Penn Hills so when they increase our rates it just goes on the bill that comes out from the Municipality and unfortunately the residents don't realize we don't get one dime of that increase. That is coming from ALCOSAN and in the letter that we received from ALCOSAN dated November 10<sup>th</sup> states that the rate increase for the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> year effective for water used beginning January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year will be 11% for 2016 and 11% for 2017. Effective January 1, 2016 the Waste Water Treatment charge will increase by \$.62 from \$5.61 to \$6.23 for each thousand gallons used and the customer charge will increase by \$1.29 from \$11.78 to \$13.07. The average customer who uses 15,000 gallons of water per quarter will pay \$10.59 more for a three month service period increasing the quarterly charge from \$95.00 to \$106.00 so I would just like and hope I wish there were more residents in the audience so that they would understand that this increase that they will be seeing in their sewage bill is not an increase from Penn Hills it is an increase from ALCOSAN. The agreement requires the Municipality to provide in its Annual Budget funds equal to at least 120% of the amount ALCOSAN estimates will become due within such year. For the 2016 calendar year the Allegheny County Sanitary Authority estimates the requirements for your Municipality to be \$4,325,592.69 which at 120% will be \$5,190,711.23 that is the cost that Penn Hills has to pay to ALCOSAN, am I correct Moe?**

**Moe Rayan – they recommend to have the 120% in the bank just to make sure that we are able to pay them of course. Back in 2007 the Municipal Manager at that time issued a letter to ALCOSAN advising (inaudible).**

**Mrs. Kuhn – and if I understand that correctly Moe is what we have done as Penn Hills as said instead of having the \$5 million dollars sitting in an escrow account we could show them the projects that we were doing that would make that \$5 million.**

**Moe Rayan – absolutely they are all identified in the Consent Order and it is approved by EAP as anytime that the project is completed.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – and just for one bit of information for the public on October 20, 2015 Mayor & Council gave our Manager direction to send to Rich Fitzgerald our Chief Executive a letter stating that they are asking for a regionalization and in a nut shell what regionalization means is that they want everyone to have a flat rate put into a pot to pay for all the problems throughout the County and Penn Hills stands and has worked tremendously**

**through Gateway Engineers and the Manager to say that Penn Hills is not going to be put in that flat rate pot because of the fact we have already completed all of our problems and now we are not just going to give them to ALCOSAN to let other communities come into it when they didn't have to put the funding for it. So we are fighting very hard and I don't believe we received a reply from Mr. Fitzgerald**

**Moe Rayan – not yet.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – so what I am going to request is for the Manager to send a letter to Mr. Fitzgerald and to our Congress and Senators of Federal to tell them that we are going to have a Public Meeting with the people from Penn Hills and maybe they can try to explain to the residents of Penn Hills why they feel that Penn Hills should be put into this regionalization plan when we have already paid our dues so that is a project that is number one on our list but I did want to get to the press and to the residents that the increase that you will be seeing is an ALCOSAN increase and not a Penn Hills increase. And now just to get back to our Agenda we will have any citizen who has any comment or input regarding the 2016 Budget if they could please come to the podium and give your name and address so that we could have it on record.**

**Howard Davidson – 3857 Green Valley Drive – Hello. I am not here to make trouble for anybody and it looks like a half hour is plenty of time for a hearing based on the number of people in the audience but I do have some questions I want to make sure I am reading the Budget right. I read it that you are not going to fund any Welcome to Penn Hills Signs, is that correct?**

**Mrs. Kuhn – I don't believe that it is in the Budget at this time.**

**Moe Rayan – this budget was prepared for Mayor & Council per the Charter for November 1<sup>st</sup> and you folks didn't come in until the last meeting and there were talks about we need some money to do a sign and so on and so forth but nobody came forth until you presented that at the last meeting.**

**Howard Davidson - so you are saying there is still a desire on the part of Council to fund the project and you will meet with Eddie when it comes up again for adoption and will some money be there?**

**Mrs. Kuhn – what I had said Howard I don't know if you were in the audience when we first started the Hearing is that there will be three Budget Hearing Meetings. The first one is for the residents to come forth and give**

**their input to Mayor & Council on what they would like to see in the Budget or out of the Budget. Tonight Mayor & Council do not give any input we just take down minutes on what the residents are asking for and then at the next meeting which is December 21<sup>st</sup> is when we will give our input and that is also when we will still permit the input from the audience and then on the 31<sup>st</sup> we pass it. And as Moe had stated to you this Budget was put into place November 1<sup>st</sup> and I don't believe the question on the signs was brought up until after the Budget had been prepared.**

**Howard Davidson – the steps and the processes is the Capital Program that is the Hearing we were at. We were at the Capital Program which is supposed to be a guide for you as you prepare the Budget and we talked at length about signs and I realize that we were probably going to get only one sign. We went away realizing that but it seems now that we are not going to get a sign at all.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – I explained to you this Budget was presented before the question of the signs came up.**

**Howard Davidson – are you saying that we came to The Capital Program Public Hearing and we were too late to influence the development of the**

**Mrs. Kuhn – Howard you are talking about passing the 2016 Budget that was presented to Mayor & Council by the Manager on November 1<sup>st</sup>. At the time that he and the Directors made this Budget up that we are discussing tonight there was nothing about signs. Now what I am saying to you is this is what you are to do tonight. You present your request for the signs, Mayor & Council puts down in our notations with everybody else's request tonight then we will go back and check what we already have from Manager and Directors and check from what the public input is and then at the next meeting**

**Howard Davidson – time has gone by since the Capital Program Hearings and things that were discussed at the Capital Program I hope would have influenced Council and Moe when you prepared tonight's Budget.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – when did you come before us Howard?**

**Howard Davidson – in November.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – November what?**

**Moe Rayan – Howard this document was prepared October 30<sup>th</sup>.**

**Howard Davidson – are you going to tell people they came too late to discuss it then**

**Mrs. Kuhn – why aren't you listening?**

**Howard Davidson – we are discussing it now.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – I will repeat it one more time Howard because it is very surprising to me that a person who was involved in the Municipality for the number of years that you were and who was part of a Director who put in for the Budget that you do not understand what I said. No one is saying Howard that you came to late what we are saying is the Budget that you are talking about that doesn't have the signs in it was presented and completed October 31<sup>st</sup>. You did not bring up anything about the signs until late November, this Budget was already put in place. Now that you put the question to us about the signs and that is what tonight's meeting is about anyone who wants something put in this Budget that is not in this Budget this is the time that they present it to Mayor & Council so I don't know where you are coming up with we are telling you are too late.**

**Howard Davidson – o.k. I am glad to hear we are not too late but I am familiar with the Budget process.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – I would hope.**

**Howard Davidson – and one of the major steps in the process is the Capital Program. I know we call it the wish list but there is also real money in there too not just wishes and I am hoping that the testimony that took place during the Capital Program Meeting is taken into consideration.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – and that is all you needed to say Howard. And what I am saying is again this was made up by October 31<sup>st</sup> the questions for the signs were not brought to us until the late November meeting.**

**Howard Davidson – o.k. I am sorry I misunderstood because I thought a lot of stuff might have happened between those two dates. I thought maybe you might have discussed these issues at a workshop.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – and what I had said Howard tonight’s meeting Mayor & Council do not give their input.**

**Howard Davidson – I understand that part.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – we will give you that input on the signs at the next meeting.**

**Howard Davidson – o.k. a few more questions. Mainly I want to make sure I am reading the Budget right. Moe you have no money for street paving in the Capital Budget. You went from \$600,000 down to 0. Is that correct?**

**Moe Rayan – that is correct.**

**Howard Davidson - do you think there is a chance it will stay that way?**

**Moe Rayan – the paving is under the Public Works Department on page 84 which represents \$1 million dollars and if you go to page**

**Howard Davidson – do you have it in the Operating Budget.**

**Moe Rayan – yes resurfacing.**

**Howard Davidson - \$1 million dollars.**

**Moe Rayan – yes.**

**Howard Davidson – o.k. thank you. Why do you put that in the Operating and not the Capital?**

**Moe Rayan – because there is no Capital money Howard.**

**Howard Davidson – people like me we look at the Capital Budget and we saw \$600,000 last year and we see \$0.**

**Moe Rayan – because we had money left over from the refinancing and so on and this year we didn’t have any refinancing that it went into the General Fund.**

**Howard Davidson – is that because of how it was refinanced?**

**Moe Rayan – we haven't floated a bond since 2005 so there is no Capital Money. It is all what we have been saving and using some of that money and refinancing and there were no bonds floating to have \$5 million dollars for the Capital like we did in 2004 and 2003.**

**Howard Davidson – bonds weren't floated for the \$600,000 in 2015.**

**Moe Rayan – yes bond proceeds when we refinanced we were able to save on a couple of bond issues reducing the interest amount that resulted in proceeds and that is where that money went.**

**Howard Davidson – o.k. never mind so anyway there is \$1 million dollars for street paving?**

**Moe Rayan – yes on page 84 and on page 83 there is \$200,000 for street roads materials, \$100,000 of that almost on a yearly basis the Department of Public Works allocates about \$100,000 of that on in house paving so total is \$1.1 million and in addition to that generally the Department of Planning and Economic Development applies in addition to that about \$150,000 to \$200,000 so you are looking in all \$1.25 million.**

**Howard Davidson – o.k. that is good thanks. I see zero in Parks improvements for example in the Capital**

**Moe Rayan – there is some money in the Operating Budget that the Recreation Supervisor applied for through the budgeting process. There is no Capital Money Howard.**

**Howard Davidson – is there any sidewalk money in the Operating Budget?**

**Moe Rayan – for the projects that were in progress, there are two projects underway right now being designed by the engineers, the Frankstown West and**

**Howard Davidson – there is no sidewalk money other than the CDC Sidewalk money right?**

**Moe Rayan – yes.**

**Howard Davidson – yes there is no money other than the**

**Moe Rayan – there is no money in the Capital Budget.**

**Howard Davidson – I just don't want to tell people there is not money there when there is money there.**

**Moe Rayan - there is no money for sidewalks.**

**Howard Davidson – that is unfortunate. My last comment would be just if I could speak up for Chris I know he has been Acting Planning Director for a long time I think that just a little bit more money in the Operating Budget for Planning Director and Council making the motion and taking the steps necessary to get him the permanent title. I think he has done a great job over the last year and I think he has earned it and I hate to see him continue to sit there with acting attached to his name so I would recommend that you give him the full title, that is all, thank you.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – one comment before someone else comes up the paving has been a question for probably the last six to seven months I mean the last six months or more and the Manager at the Public Meeting has explained in depth where to look for the funding in the Budget. But for some reason he has met with people we have said it at the Public Meetings but for some reason the word out on the streets of the Municipality is that the Municipality only did \$600,000 of paving last year when in fact in 2015 our actual was what was it Moe?**

**Moe Rayan - \$1,488,031.00.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – which is a lot more than \$600,000. So everyone who reads the Budget can make an error in looking at the Budget and seeing that there is different pages in the Budget for Road Paving. I am not saying that they can't but when it has been explained by the Manager at Public Meetings when it has been explained by the Manager to certain committees that have come up and met with him and when it has been put out there that the money that was spent on road paving in 2015 was \$1,400,000 plus and we are still hearing that \$600,000 figure I have no idea but that was what was spent in 2015 and what we are projecting to be spent in 2016 is the \$1.2 million dollars on road paving. Is there anyone else who wants to speak?**

**Howard Davidson – I wanted to tell you that I totally understand what you just said and I have been trying to help you with that by telling people that I deal with at CDC meetings for example. I have told them don't just look at the Capital Budget for that look in Liquid Fuels and the Operating Budget.**

**Sara Kuhn – I appreciate that because there was even literature mailed out to residents and there was information put on the website that the Municipality only spent \$600,000 on road paving.**

**Howard Davidson – some of the confusion is able to continue once they look at the Capital Budget and see it going from \$600,000 down to 0.**

**Sara Kuhn – but all you have to do is come to a meeting as you did tonight. If you come to a meeting like you did tonight Howard and you ask the Manager and he will give that information to any resident. Is there any other resident who wants to speak in the audience? Not very well attended tonight. Well being that Mayor & Council will give their input at the next meeting on December 21, 2015 I will make a motion to adjourn.**

**Moe Rayan – just a quick comment Mrs. Kuhn the Budget has been posted on our website. The Budget is available at Municipal Facilities for public reviews it is up in the Finance Department, up in the Manager's Office and it has been display since November 3<sup>rd</sup>.**

**Mrs. Kuhn – well then thank you very much Moe because that is always a question that we get when it is available.**

### **ADJOURNMENT**

**Deputy Mayor Kuhn – entertained a motion to adjourn.**

**Dr. Kincaid made a motion to adjourn.**

**Mr. Underwood seconded the motion.**

**The meeting adjourned at 7:45 P.M.**

---

**DATE**

---

**Maureen M. Sorce  
Manager's Secretary**



